

FILE 60-1

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1940

THE CHASE NATIONAL BANK OF
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Trustee, etc.,
Petitioner,

v.

No. 423

CITIZENS GAS COMPANY OF
INDIANAPOLIS, et al.,
Respondents.

THE CHASE NATIONAL BANK OF
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Trustee, etc.,
Petitioner,

v.

No. 424

THE INDIANAPOLIS GAS COMPANY, et al.,
Respondents.

ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE BOARDS OF TRUSTEES AND DIRECTORS TO CROSS PETITION OF PETITIONER FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT.

✓ EDWARD H. KNIGHT,
Corporation Counsel,
MICHAEL B. REDDINGTON,
City Attorney,
* WILLIAM H. THOMPSON,
PERRY E. O'NEAL,
PATRICK J. SMITH,
Counsel for said Respondents.

THOMPSON, O'NEAL & SMITH,
1350 Consolidated Building,
Indianapolis, Indiana,
Of Counsel.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1940

THE CHASE NATIONAL BANK OF
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Trustee, etc.,
Petitioner,

v.

No. 423

CITIZENS GAS COMPANY OF
INDIANAPOLIS, et al.,

Respondents.

THE CHASE NATIONAL BANK OF
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Trustee, etc.,
Petitioner,

v.

No. 424

THE INDIANAPOLIS GAS COMPANY, et al.,
Respondents.

ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS AND INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE BOARDS OF TRUSTEES AND DIRECTORS TO CROSS-PETITION OF PETITIONER FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT.

To the Honorable Chief Justice and Associate Justices of
the Supreme Court of the United States:

The City of Indianapolis and the individual members
of its Boards of Trustees and Directors of its Department
of Utilities, Respondents in the above entitled causes, re-
spectfully show to this Honorable Court:

A.

ALLOWANCE OF WRITS ON CROSS-PETITION.

In view of the dissatisfaction of cross-petitioner, as
expressed in Causes 423 and 424 in this Court, with the
rulings by the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for
the Seventh Circuit, the City of Indianapolis and the indi-
vidual members of its Boards of Trustees and Directors
for its Department of Utilities, Respondents, have no ob-
jection in answer to the Cross-petitions of petitioner to
the granting of the writs asked for by cross-petitioner to
review the decision of the United States Circuit Court of
Appeals for the Seventh Circuit in the manner as prayed
for in said cross-petitions.

Respectfully submitted, —

EDWARD H. KNIGHT,

Corporation Counsel,

MICHAEL B. REDDINGTON,

City Attorney,

WILLIAM H. THOMPSON,

PERRY E. O'NEAL,

PATRICK J. SMITH,

1350 Consolidated Building,

Indianapolis, Indiana,

Counsel for said Respondents.

